HOLLIS PLANNING BOARD MINUTES

August 21st, 2018

"Final"

1 2	Cle	ANNING BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Bill Moseley, Chairman; Doug eveland, Vice Chairman; Cathy Hoffman, Jeff Peters, David Petry, Ex-Officio for
3	Sel	ectmen
4 5 6		SENT: Chet Rogers, Brian Stelmack, Alternate Dan Turcott, Alternate Benjamin Ming, ernate Richard Hardy
7	~	
8 9		CAFF PRESENT: Mark Fougere, Town Planner; Kathie Donnelly, Interim Assistant nnner
10		
11 12	J.]	EDGE OF ALLEGIANCE AND MOMENT OF SILENCE: B. Moseley asked Peters to lead the Pledge of Allegiance, to be followed by a moment of silence in memory
13	of	Vahrij Manoukian.
14 15	1	CALL TO ORDER: 7:05 pm
16	1.	CALL TO ORDER: /.03 pm
17 18	2.	APPROVAL OF PLANNING BOARD MINUTES:
19	C.	Hoffman made a motion to approve the minutes of the July 17th 2018 meeting with one
20 21	coı	rection. J. Peters seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
22 23	3.	DISCUSSION AND STAFF BRIEFING:
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31		a. Agenda additions and deletions – M. Fougere reported highlights of correspondences received by the Board. First, the Loverings have submitted a revised plan for their subdivision, reducing the plan from six to five lots and offering landscaping suggestions. Second is a letter from Emery & Garrett, groundwater experts, with the results of a hydrogeological investigation regarding 82 Runnells Bridge Road. Their determination was that the plot is not in the aquifer protection area. Finally, there is a letter from a Silver Lake Road abutter expressing concerns about the site plan for 451 Silver Lake Road.
32		b. Committee Reports – None
33		c. Staff Report –Noned. Regional Impact – None
34 35		d. Regional Impact – None
36 37	4.	SIGNATURE OF PLANS:
38 39		None
40 41	5.	HEARINGS
42		File PB2018:006: Design review: Major subdivision of an existing 28.5 acre lot into
43		six new lots, with access to three lots via a private way. Applicant/owner: Linda &
44		Richard Lovering, Jr., 50 Pine Hill Road, Map 25, Lot 4, Zoned
45		Residential/Agricultural. Tabled from June 19th. Tabled from July 17th.
46		
47		M. Fougere stated that he received a letter from Doug Gagne, the landscape expert, with
48 49 50		his comments on the revised plan submitted by the applicant. Since the applicant has reduced their plan from six to five lots, it is no longer a major subdivision. There are two front lots and two back lots, which opens up a center field area. M. Fougere said that he

received an email from Rick Hardy, saying he supports the landscaping recommendations of Doug Gagne. M. Fougere said he has had discussions with the applicant and they understand the issues. The drainage issue remains. The applicant is requesting to move to final review, at which time the remaining issues will be addressed.

Cynthia Boisvert of Arago Land Consultants, 31 Old Nashua Road, Amherst, approached the podium representing the applicant. She stated that they are requesting to move to final review. She said that significant changes have been made to the site design. The revision contains five lots instead of six and maintains the existing barn and a majority of the meadow. The driveway to the back lots has been moved further east on Pine Hill Road, and the two back lots have been moved closer to the tree line. She said that Rick Hardy has suggested installing a fence across the meadow in line with the existing barn. Doug Gagne suggested a fence going all the way across the meadow, but because they want to retain as much of the meadow as possible in keeping with the rural character ordinance, the applicant disagrees with that recommendation. Trees will be planted along the mutual driveway for shade and screening. A hedgerow mimicking the existing one will be planted along the stone wall. As suggested by M. Fougere, she will meet with Doug Gagne and Rick Hardy to finalize the landscaping plan.

D. Petry made a motion to send this application to final review. The motion was seconded by D. Cleveland. The motion carried unanimously.

File PB2018-010: Design Review. Three lot subdivision. Applicant/Owner Team Yarmo Investments, 82 Runnells Bridge Road, Map 5, Lot 28. Tabled from June 19th. **Tabled from July 17th.**

 M. Fougere stated that the Board got a good idea of the layout of this subdivision on the Site Walk, which took place on August 18th. He said that the applicant has a grading plan for the common driveway and that a landscaping plan is needed. He said that a letter from Emery & Garrett, the Town's geologist, confirms the applicants finding that this parcel is not in the aquifer. Emery & Garrett recommend future monitoring, depending upon usage.

Chris Guida, a wetlands and soil scientist from Fieldstone Land Consultants, approached the podium, stating that the proposed common driveway is the same as the existing one. They have proposed grading for the driveway, but since they don't yet know what the uses will be, the DOT will reevaluate the driveway for the individual lots as they are proposed.

B. Moseley said that the speed limit on Runnells Bridge Road is 40 miles per hour.

Mr. Guida stated that 400 feet is the safe site distance required by the DOT, regardless of the speed limit.

B. Moseley asked if there were any interested parties yet.

Mr. Guida responded that there are some, but they are waiting for the subdivision approval.

D. Petry asked if they will have a no-cut zone.

Mr. Guida responded that they can have one.

- D. Petry said that since the driveway is very close to the abutting property, a permanent buffer should be required.
 - M. Fougere stated that if this application goes to final review, the applicant can complete the subdivision process right away or wait until they have a site plan and review them together. Either way, a landscaping plan should be required.
 - C. Hoffman commented that cars travel very fast on Pepperell Road.
- Mr. Guida said that they can't control the speed of the vehicles.
 - M. Fougere said that if development occurs in the area, the speed limit could be lowered or other modifications made.
 - J. Peters made a motion to move this application to final review. The motion was seconded by D. Cleveland. The motion carried unanimously.
 - **File PB2018-011: Site Plan:** Site plan for the establishment of a sales and services establishment and construction of a 3,000 square foot building. Applicant: Leo & Rita Cormier; Owner: Kenny Family Trust; 451 Silver Lake Road. Tax Map 46, Lot 5; Zoned Residential/Agricultural and Agricultural/Business. Tabled from June 19th. **Tabled from July 17**th.
 - M. Fougere stated that a productive Site Walk was conducted on August 18th. The Board members viewed the parking area, storage area, fencing, and the proposed location of the building. He said that the property is in the aquifer, and the applicant has obtained a variance from the ZBA. M. Fougere continued, saying that much of the existing lot is impervious because it has been a parking area for a flea market, and parking areas are impervious per Hollis ordinance. He stated that the staff has concluded that there is no new impervious area. M. Fougere listed possible studies which the Board could require: environmental hazards, wildlife, traffic, storm water, fiscal impact, visual impact and historic issues. He noted that the existing stockade fence on the south side of the lot actually belongs to the abutter, and he said the Board should decide if they want to require additional buffering. He said that the applicant has requested a waiver for a storm water drainage study. M. Fougere recommends moving the parking area away from the existing property line so as to have the 22-foot aisle, which is required.
 - Reggie Ouellette of R.L. Ouellette Associates approached the podium, stating that he represents the applicant.
 - C. Hoffman asked if the Board has the authority to waive the percentage of impervious land required.
 - M. Fougere responded that a waiver is not needed because the percentage of impervious land has not increased. Also, he recommended that the northern area remain open and not be used for storage. And, per the aquifer ordinance, no more than five gallons of petroleum products may be stored on the property.
 - B. Moseley said that a spill kit should be required.

153	
154	M. Fougere stated that the Board has received a letter from Bedford Design.
155	
156	D. Cleveland asked about the drainage analysis.
157	
158	B. Moseley said that the Board members were at the site on Saturday morning after a
159	heavy rain storm and drainage did not seem to be an issue.
160	
161	C. Hoffman said that the soil seemed permeable.
162	
163	C. Hoffman made a motion to waive the requirement of a storm water drainage study.
164	The motion was seconded by D. Cleveland. The motion carried unanimously.
165	D. Clausland made a mation station that other studies are not applicable on accessors
166 167	D. Cleveland made a motion stating that other studies are not applicable or necessary. The motion was seconded by J. Peters. The motion carried unanimously.
168	The motion was seconded by 5. Feters. The motion carried unanimously.
169	M. Fougere asked the Board what it would like to do regarding the stockade fencing and
170	landscaping.
171	landscaping.
172	J. Peters said that if the fence on the south side comes down, the applicant would have
173	to replace it.
174	to replace it.
175	B. Moseley questioned why that should be required, since the fence is on the abutter's
176	property.
177	
178	D. Petry said that if there were no fence there already, they would be requiring
179	screening.
180	
181	M. Fougere said that six or eight evergreens could be planted on the south side. Each
182	tree should be between four and six feet tall.
183	
184	Brett Allard of Bernstein Shur approached the podium, saying that he has represented
185	Mr. Cormier throughout this process. He said that the purpose of screening is for the
186	abutter, and he cautioned against requiring the applicant to be responsible for replacing
187	the fence, should it be taken down. The abutter could impose conditions on the
188	applicant.
189	
190	B. Moseley determined that the consensus of the Board is to remove the note regarding
191	fencing on the south border of the property.
192 193	M. Fougare auggested allowing no parking on the northern side of the present within
193	M. Fougere suggested allowing no parking on the northern side of the property within the setback area, leaving that as open space. He further suggested relocating the parking
	area, realization open open opened in the function ouggested relocating the puriting

B. Moseley stated that the spill kit should match the quantity of petroleum products.

J. Peters made a motion to approve the site plan, along with the list of stipulations recommended by M. Fougere. The motion was seconded by D. Cleveland. The motion carried unanimously.

6. OTHER BUSINESS

spaces so as to have a 22 foot aisle.

204	
205	M. Fougere said that he would get input from Rick Hardy regarding the Draft Landscape
206	Maintenance Guidelines prepared by Doug Gagne, Landscape Consultant and place this
207	item on the agenda for the next meeting.
208	
209	M. Fougere said that a list of adopted land use regulations was included in the packets
210	of the Board members as an FYI.
211	
212	M. Fougere stated that if anyone is interested in the Law Lecture Series, there is money
213	in the budget to pay registration fees.
214	
215	D. Cleveland made a non-debatable motion to adjourn at 7:52 pm. J. Peters seconded
216	the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
217	
218	Respectively submitted by,
219	
220	
221	Kathie Donnelly
222	Interim Assistant Planner
223	Town of Hollis, NH