### HOLLIS PLANNING BOARD MINUTES

### February 16th, 2016

### "FINAL"

1	PLANNING BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Doug Gagne - Chairman, Cathy Hoffman
2	– Vice Chairman, Doug Cleveland, R. Hardy, Brian Stelmack
3	
4	ABSENT: Chet Rogers, David Petry, Ex-Officio for Selectmen, and Dan Turcott,
5	Alternate.
6	
7	STAFF: Mark Fougere, Town Planner; Wendy Trimble, Planning Secretary
8	
9	1. CALL TO ORDER: The Chairman Doug Gagne called the meeting to
10	order at 7:00 pm.
11	
12	2. APPROVAL OF PLANNING BOARD MINUTES:
13	
14	C. Hoffman moved to approve the minutes of January 19 <sup>th</sup> , 2016 as submitted. Motion
15	seconded by R. Hardy. All in favor, none opposed.
16	
17	3. DISCUSSION AND STAFF BRIEFING:
18	A. Agenda Additions and Deletions – M. Fougere gave the board an outline of
19	recommended changes relative to the ground mounted solar energy systems. This
20	will be amendments to our Site Plan Regulations and he will brief the board later
21	tonight.
22	B. Committee Reports – None
23	C. Staff Report – None
24	D. Regional Impact – None
25	
26	4. SIGNATURE OF PLAN – File #2721 Worcester Road and File #2801 Ranger Road.
27	Elle #orest Wenesster Deed
28	File #2721 Worcester Road
29	M. Fougane ampleined that this plan was amanded to show elevification with amondment to
30 31	M. Fougere explained that this plan was amended to show clarification with amendment to wetland area. R. Hardy asked if they had provided extra information. M. Fougere
32	confirmed they did and that there was also a note added to the plan to further clarify the
33	change.
33 34	change.
35	C. Hoffman made a motion to authorize the Chairman to sign the plan for file #2721. D.
36	Cleveland seconded. All in favor none opposed.
37	cheveland seconded. All in lavor none opposed.
38	File #2801 Ranger Road
39	The #2001 Ranger Road
40	C. Hoffman made a motion to authorize the Chairman to sign the plan for file #2801. D.
41	Cleveland seconded. All in favor none opposed.
42	
43	
44	5. Beaver Brook Association: Review of involuntary lot mergers and proposed lot
45	consolidations Per RSA 674:39-aa
46	
47	M. Fougere explained that Beaver Brook had been before the Board previously and they are
48	aiming to tidy up their lots, and consolidate them to match their conservation easements
49	that presently existing to create order. They have been working closely with the assessing

office making sure all the deeds are listed properly and time has been taken to make sure 50 everything is accurate. There are a significant number of lots but this process is standard 51 and does not create any zoning issues it just helps Beaver Brook address their land needs. 52 53 54 C. Hoffman questioned why it was called involuntary. Drew Keller spoke from the floor and explained that prior to this there were a number of involuntary mergers created, and they 55 had to go before the Selectmen to request that those where reversed before coming to the 56 57 Planning Board to ask for an approval of mergers to create 6 lots as presented tonight. 58 59 The assessing office had produced a list of merged lots and all these details have been checked. 60 61 62 C. Hoffman made a motion to approve the proposed lots mergers for Beaver Brook. D. Cleveland seconded. All in favor none opposed. 63 64 6. Subdivision Regulation Amendment: Draft - Visual Impact Implementation 65 66 M. Fougere explained this had come up in various discussions over the past year with 67 68 members of the board, and after a meeting with R. Hardy and D. Gagne, this amendment aims to come up with a new scheme to reorder how landscaping and buffering is 69 implemented. At present it always appears to be the last thing done on a subdivision 70 71 project and sometimes based on development and phasing it takes a long time for it to be 72 done and our aim is to try to put it into some kind of order. This draft has been created from previous stipulations and our aim is to make landscaping a priority. If the landscape 73 74 and buffering were done sooner it would help buffer the development as it proceeds over 75 the years, and also give the Board time to review it as it matures. 76 77 D. Gagne asked if this document would work separately or together with the Performance Guarantee paper that he handed out last month. M. Fougere suggested they would be two 78 79 separate documents. 80 D. Gagne recommended changing the words "landscaping" and "buffering" to 81 "revegetation". He also suggested including or referencing the Landscape Performance 82 Guarantee document. 83 84 R. Hardy discussed section 'e' and the last sentence. After discussion it was suggested that 85 86 this sentence be erased. 87 Maybe reference to the Requirements for Landscape Performance Guaranties would work 88 89 better within the document and therefore adding it as section 'F' would ensure this. 90 B. Stelmack asked who would be doing the inspections. M. Fougere said we are going to try 91 to have a landscape architect to fill this position. So far we have not been able to find one. 92 93 We will also keep D. Gagne and R. Hardy in the loop. D. Gagne also suggested a fall back plan would be to go back to the original landscape architect who drew up the landscape 94 95 plans. 96 97 Both these documents will be ready for public hearing at the March meeting. 98

# 99 7. Site Plan Regulation Amendment: Draft – Wildlife Habitat Inventory and 100 Assessment

101

M. Fougere explained this is the additional change in procedures that was originally made
 in Subdivision Regulations but was omitted to be amended in the Site Plan Regulations.

in Subdivision Regulations but was omitted to be amended in the Site Plan Regulations.
 Adding the phrase 'wildlife biologist approved by the Hollis Conservation Commission'

105 instead of 'certified wildlife biologist'.

106

107 R. Hardy asked if there was a list. C. Hoffman said they have a list and this would be 108 checked against when a wildlife report was asked for.

108 109

#### 110 8. Master Plan Survey Results

111

The planning board went through some of the questions and results discussing in general.
 The results were not all surprising as most where similar and consistent with the previous surveys. Work will continue to categorize the written comments to gain more information

115 from the surveys.

116

## Agenda addition – Ground Mounted Solar Energy System Site Plan Review Requirements and Performance Standards

119

M. Fougere handed out the above document to the board, explaining this is a draft for
changes to our site plan should the ground mounted solar power ordinance pass at the town
meeting. This is for review at the Planning Board meeting next month if this happens and
will be discussed to ensure is it ok and to decide if it something that the board wishes to
adopt as it homes in on uniqueness of standards, monitoring and maintenance, and

- 125 abandonment or decommissioning.
- 126

127 C. Hoffman made a non debatable motion to adjourn the meeting. D. Cleveland seconded.128 All in favor none opposed.

129 The meeting was adjourned at 8:00 PM

130
131
132 Respectively submitted by,
133
134
135 Wendy Trimble
136 Planning Secretary
137 Town of Hollis, NH

138