HOLLIS PLANNING BOARD MINUTES July 16, 2019

1	PLANNING BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Bill Moseley – Chairman; Doug Cleveland – Vice		
2		airman, Chet Rogers, Cathy Hoffman, Jeff Peters, Ben Ming. Alternates; Rick Hardy, Matt	
3	Hartnett.		
4	110		
5	ΑĒ	SSENT: David Petry, Ex-Officio for the Selectmen; Dan Turcott.	
6			
7	ST	AFF PRESENT: Mark Fougere, Town Planner; Evan Clements, Assistant Planner	
8			
9	1.	CALL TO ORDER: 7:00 PM	
10			
11	Th	e meeting began with the Pledge of Allegiance, led by Bill Moseley. The chairman appointed Rick	
12		rdy to vote for Jeff Peters, who will be arriving late.	
13	_		
14	2.	APPROVAL OF PLANNING BOARD MINUTES	
15		C. Hoffman moved to approve the minutes of June 18, 2019. The motion was seconded by B.	
16		Ming, and unanimously approved. (B. Moseley abstains.)	
17			
18	3.	DISCUSSION AND STAFF BRIEFING	
19		a. Agenda additions and deletions – None	
20		b. Committee Reports – None	
21		c. Staff Report –None	
22		d. Regional Impact - None	
23			
24	4.	SIGNATURE OF PLANS –	
25		• File PB2019:04 – Proposed minor subdivision of 38.4 acre lot into four lots ranging in size	
26		from 5.9 to 15.7 acres, 43 Farley Road, Map 38 Lot 37. Owner/Applicant: Raisanen Homes	
27		Elite, LLC, Zoned R&A.	
28		Planner M. Fougere indicated that all stipulations have been met and the plans are ready for	
29		signature. Moved by C. Rogers, seconded by D. Cleveland, to authorize the chairman to sign	
30		plans for File PB2019:04. All in favor; none opposed.	
31	5	RECOGNITION OF V. MILLS – D. Cleveland presented a motion to recognize Virginia Mills for her	
32	5. RECOGNITION OF V. MILLS — D. Cleveland presented a motion to recognize Virginia Mills for her assistance to the Planning Board and contributions to the efficiency of the Board over the past few months.		
33		Motion seconded by C. Hoffman and unanimously approved. M. Fougere noted that V. Mills will be	
34	helping with minutes and filing project for the next few weeks.		
35		maping with minute and mining project for the new text weeks.	
36	6.	HEARINGS –	
37		a. File PB2019:07 Minor Subdivision of an existing 10.9 acre lot into two lots of 5 and 5.9	
38		acres served by a private way. Map 28 Lot 45. Owner: Duymazlar Rev. Trust. Applicant:	
39		Laurie Pereault, Esq., 244 Hayden Road. Zoned RL Rural Lands. Tabled from June 18,	
40		2019.	
41		B. Moseley stepped down for this case and D. Cleveland took over as Chair. M. Fougere	
42		noted that this plan was tabled pending questions regarding the amount of fill that would be	
43		required for the driveway. The amount of fill needed is approx.12,000 sq. ft. for a full 20'	
44		driveway; however, the design now being presented is 18'.	
45		C. Foley, Fieldstone Land Consultants, indicated that they are now able to achieve an 18'	
46		hard surface at the wetland crossing so the waiver request is now for 18' between the	
47		retaining walls.	

48		D. LaBombard stated that with the exception of a few "clean-up" items (see letter dated
49		7/14/19) and pending waiver request, he is satisfied with the plan. R. Hardy thanked the
50		applicant for providing the details, noting that this helped the Board make a decision.
51		Staff noted that the waiver is now for 2' for construction of the private way for a length of
52		80'. R. Hardy moved to approve the waiver; seconded by C. Hoffman, and unanimously
53		approved.
54		Other stipulations:
55		 All outstanding issues from the town engineer shall be addressed;
56		All required professional stamps shall be added to the plan;
57		• Executed maintenance and private way agreement shall be submitted to planning staff;
58 59		All lot corners shall be set prior to recording.
60		C. Hoffman moved to approve File #PB2019:07. Motion seconded by C. Rogers and
61		unanimously approved.
62	b.	File PB2019:09 Minor Subdivision of an existing 25.75 acre lot into two lots, 5.7 acres
63		and 19.9 acres. Map 32 Lot 45-5, Applicant David O'Hara & Associates. Owner James
64		& Judith Seager, 43 Howe Lane. Zoned R/A Residential/Agricultural. Application
65		Acceptance & Public Hearing.
66		This plan subdivides a 25.75 acre lot into two lots, served by a private way. Lot 5,
67		where a cell tower is located, is 19.98 acres with 20 feet of frontage and lot 6 will be 5.7
68		acres with 37.12 feet of frontage. The front lot is an agricultural field with some small
69		wetland areas and the proposed building area is to the rear of the lot. The rear lot is
70		heavily wooded with a tree preservation easement around the cell tower. The existing
71		buffer along Howe Lane should be preserved to adhere to the Rural Character
72		Ordinance. The applicant has requested two waivers: (a) Wetland mapping and two
73		foot contours on the southeastern portion of the site; (b) Forestalling the construction of
74		the private way. An upgraded 14' driveway will serve the front lot; a full width private
75		way will be installed at the time that a home is built on the rear lot. Staff recommends
76		that if the planning board approves this waiver, a driveway design should be required.
77		D. Cleveland moved to accept File #PB2019:09 for consideration. Motion seconded by
78		C. Hoffman and unanimously approved. David O'Hara, LLS, spoke on behalf of
79		members of the Seager family, who were present, and presented plans as outlined above
80		There are a number of stipulations attached to the cell tower, which is served by an
81		existing driveway with a 20' right-of-way. There are underground utilities. A waiver is
82		requested to allow a 14' driveway for the 5 acre lot, as well as a waiver of 2' contours
83		and wetland mapping on 5.45 acres east of the wetland. The required amount of
84		acceptable land is shown for each lot. The existing entrance is 21' wide at the entrance
85		and is paved for 34'. It is recognized that a culvert may be required for the new

driveway. Sight distance is adequate. The front of the property has been used for

86

agriculture and is fairly wet. The Seagers are in negotiations with the cell tower company to use the existing driveway.

87

88

89 90

91 92

93

94

95

96 97

98

99

100 101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109 110

111

112

113

114

115

116117

118 119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

James Seager, applicant, 467 High Street, Unit 22, Hampton, NH, and resident of 74 Ranger Road until June of last year, addressed the board. He has had ongoing conversations with the site manager from American Tower, as well as with one of their lawyers, who has assured him that he will be able to utilize the access road and the bridge to get to his property. A written document confirming this is pending. Questions from the board: C. Rogers: How wide is the right-of-way to the cell tower? D. O'Hara: 20'. C. Rogers: Why do you need to go down to 14'? D. O'Hara: Cost. It will only serve one house. It will be upgraded at the time the second lot is developed. C. Rogers: Proposed drive goes through the tree conservation zone. D. O'Hara: Trees can be cut with Town of Hollis permission. D. Cleveland: There is only about 100' from the tower to the building envelope on 2nd lot; is this a problem? M. Fougere: There are plenty of other areas to locate the house; there is not a requirement that the building be put in the building area. D. O'Hara: Envision the house at the top of the hill. R. Hardy: Need clarification on the thin wedge of wooded area next to Howe Lane that should be protected. M. Fougere: This will have to be a stipulation added to the plan. R. Hardy: Will whole driveway have to be redesigned for the 2nd house? M. Fougere: Correct – and I recommend that they design it at this time so it is ready to be built when the house in back is constructed. (Approx. 7:40 – J. Peters arrives and takes over as voting member.) D. O'Hara: There is not a problem meeting the 8% grade. B. Ming: Does the driveway have to go around the tower on that particular side? D. O'Hara: Yes – because there is a 100' setback to the brook on the other side. M. Fougere: More detail is needed on this plan. B. Moseley: Suggest we do a site walk.

Public Hearing: David Seager, brother to Jim Seager and abutter, stated that he has no objections to the plan. This was all part of the family farm. The trees surrounding the cell tower are very large; should be possible to put a driveway in with very little visual impact.

Dawn Jewett, 90 Howe Lane, expressed concern regarding speeding on Howe Lane, and the fact that a lot of waivers are needed. There being no further discussion, the chairman closed the public hearing. Board members agreed to set a site walk time at the conclusion of the meeting. D. Cleveland moved to table File PB2019-009 to the August PB meeting. Motion seconded by C. Hoffman and unanimously approved.

- c. File PB2019:010 Proposed minor site plan proposing two small additions, a 180 square foot stairwell and 954 square foot addition to the front of the church, also a patio amphitheater will be constructed. Map 52 Lot 53, 3 Monument Square. Applicant/owner: The Congregational Church of Hollis. Zoned TC Town Center, Historic District. Application Acceptance & Public Hearing.
- (C. Rogers recuses himself for this case; R. Hardy votes in his place.)

Planner M. Fougere presented background information on this application. The application has received approval from the ZBA for setback and lot coverage issues, and the applicant has met with both the Selectmen and Library Trustees. Changes to the front include a 954 sq. ft. addition as well as a new handicapped entrance. There will be a one-way drive at the front of church, and the main driveway will be realigned on Monument Square. On the east side of the property a fire escape will be enclosed within a 180 sq. ft. addition adjoining the cemetery, and the eastern lawn will be regraded to accommodate a small amphitheater. The existing sidewalk will be relocated to the edge of pavement along Broad Street. The site is in the HDC. Drainage is under review by the town engineer; landscaping is proposed along the frontage. The applicant has requested waivers from site details within 200' of the site, and for special studies. Other issues: Has approval been granted by NHDOT to relocate and construct new sidewalks within the State's right-of-way? Need a letter from the library trustees stating their agreement with the proposed relocated driveway.

127

128129

130 131

132

133

134135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145146

147

148 149

150

151

152

153154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161162

163

164

165

166 167 D. Cleveland moved to accept PB2019:010 for consideration. Motion seconded by J. Peters and unanimously approved.

Tom Hildreth, 15 Broad Street, attorney for the church and member of the building committee, addressed the board. He introduced a number of other representatives associated with the project: Paul Chisolm, civil engineer, Keech Nordstrom; Dennis Meyers and Christine Morrell, architects; Ed Wigfield, chair of the building committee and capital building committee; Drew Mason, treasurer of the church and member of the building committee; Tanya Rasmussen, pastor. Mr. Hildreth summarized the reason for the project (see his letter dated 6/24/19), which is: "Welcome, worship, learn and serve on a foundation of safety". He distributed illustrations depicting the proposed changes, and discussed details of each. The newest plans show the sidewalk continuing along the frontage. The final sidewalk/driveway configuration involves both the Town and the State. Approvals are pending from NHDOT. The existing stairway will be enclosed and it is anticipated that people will then use it to get from the sanctuary to the fellowship hall. The amphitheater will create a community gathering space. As it stands today, Hardy Hall does not comply with life safety code; the addition will bring that section into compliance. The construction material for the amphitheater will be reviewed with the HDC. The surface is approx. 1,000 sq. ft. and they have received a variance for this. Mr. Meyers noted that one of the materials under consideration for the patio is a paver known as "Hanover Block". The height of the seats is 18' to 21". There are several options under consideration for the retaining walls. These items should be finalized when they go to the HDC. Mr. Hildreth pointed out that there is an interior wall at the back of the sanctuary that is not the exterior wall of the building. The plan is to remove the interior wall and expand the sanctuary out to the exterior wall, adding windows to bring in light. The plan also calls for putting the power underground. Comments have been received from DPW Director Todd Croteau and town engineer Dennis LaBombard, and changes made to the latest plans, including a small stormwater drainage swale that is

168 piped to an existing catch basin. Mr. Hildreth requested conditional approval, subject to satisfaction of the DPW director and town engineer. 169 B. Moseley: Assume you have taken steps to assure you will not be encroaching on the 170 171 cemetery. T. Hildreth: Yes. Have been in conversations with the Cemetery Commission for over a year, and did a lot of historical research to confirm the actual 172 173 boundaries. Also had a company with ground penetrating radar survey the area and 174 found nothing of concern. J. Peters: Any lighting impact on neighbors? T. Hildreth: 175 Several additional post lights will be added; also lighting in the entrances. No all-night lighting. J. Peters: What are hours/uses of amphitheater? T. Hildreth: A committee is 176 working on that. Hoping this will encourage interaction with adjoining spaces and 177 properties. R. Hardy: Do we need comment from the State relative to the drainage 178 going into their catch basin? Project engineer Paul Chisolm responded that the catch 179 basin sits on private property and the project is not big enough to trigger any State 180 181 permits. R. Hardy: Do we have to be concerned with having railings in the 182 amphitheater? M. Fougere: This is a building code issue. Dennis Meyers, architect, stated that there is a guard rail at the top of the retaining wall and a handrail down the 183 steps. All other grade changes do not require anything. 184 Town engineer Dennis LaBombard reviewed his letter of June 12, 2019. He just 185 received a revised set of plans and drainage calcs yesterday and has not had time to 186 187 review them. M. Hartnett: Any concerns about the lighting's effect on the neighbors? Stair lighting? 188 D. Meyers: Ground level lighting is built into the stairs. 189 190 Public Hearing. Joe Garruba, 28 Winchester Drive, spoke in favor of the proposal. He asked the board members to read the four letters he sent previously on another project. 191 The chairman informed Mr. Garruba that this application is regarding the church, and 192 would he please sit down if his comments are not relative to this application. 193 194 Tanya Rasmusson, 16 Depot Road, Hollis, pastor for the church for 4 years, stated that it 195 was clear that the members of the church had been called to expand their ministry. The church is in its 276th year as a congregation, and she is proud of the congregation and the 196 leadership of the team. There are other groups involved as well. The only way the 197 church can continue to thrive is to make these changes. The process has been 198 completely transparent, with "tons" of input. 199 200 There being no further comment, the chairman closed the public hearing. B. Ming asked 201 if a traffic study is needed now that there will be an additional entrance. M. Fougere 202 noted that this was reviewed by NHDOT and they have issued a permit. C. Morrell, architect, explained that the redesign of the driveway actually improves safety by 203 eliminating the jog. B. Ming: Is there anything to protect the library parking while the 204

205 206		construction is going on? T. Hildreth: Estimate for the work is a maximum of 2 days; we will coordinate this with the library.
207		·
207		M. Fougere reviewed outstanding issues: Final review by DPW director and town
208		engineer; waiver requests. J. Peters moved to grant the waiver from depiction of site
209		details within 200 feet of the site, including all roads and structures. Motion seconded
210		by C. Hoffman and unanimously approved. (The second waiver is not necessary
211		because Special Studies are generally not required for site plans.)
212		J. Peters moved to approve File PB2019:010, subject to the following stipulations:
213		• The applicant shall obtain a driveway permit from NHDOT as well as authority to
214		relocate the sidewalk within the State's right-of-way
215		Obtain HDC approval
216		 Finalize any outstanding issues with the town engineer
217		• On note 5, add that the site is within the Historic District
218		Address issues raised by the Public Works Director
219		Add a sidewalk within the drop-off island
220 221		 Accommodations shall be made to protect the Veterans Park during construction, including a bench that is very close to proposed drainage work. Proper temporary
222		fencing and/or a barrier shall be erected.
223		The motion was seconded by D. Cleveland and unanimously approved.
224 225 226 227 228 229	d.	File PB2019:011 – Proposed minor site plan outlining a change of use to an existing industrial building; 1,000 sq. ft. of space will be occupied by Wilson's Ground Maintenance Company; a portion of the existing parking area will be used for equipment storage and material storage. Map 4 Lot 76. Owner Travis Wilson; applicant Brian Wilson, 3 Clinton Drive. Zoned Industrial. Application Acceptance & Public Hearing.
230		This minor site plan calls for a change of use to an existing industrial building: a
231		landscaping company will occupy 1,000 sq. ft. of the existing 5,576 sq.ft. structure. The
232		company has 4 employees, 2 dump trucks and 4 plows. Three outdoor bins for mulch,
233		stone and loam are proposed; there will be no material processing or onsite sales of
234		materials. No fertilizers or pesticides will be stored on the property. Hours of operation
235		will be 6 am to 5 pm.
233		will be 6 ain to 5 pm.
236		Brian Wilson clarified that he is the property owner and Travis Wilson is the applicant.
237		J. Peters moved to accept File PB2019:011 for consideration. Motion seconded by D.
238		Cleveland and unanimously approved. Travis Wilson, owner of Wilson's Ground
239		Maintenance, explained that he is looking to occupy the warehouse space in the rear of
240		the building for inside storage and maintenance, as well as a portion of the paved area to
241		the rear of the building. He would also like to extend the fence line and add some
242		storage bins. This is the former Dunwell Electric site.
243		Comments from the Board: R. Hardy: In favor of using the same hours of operation as
244		the recent application next door. There were no comments for the public hearing. J.

245		Peters moved to approve File 2019:011. Motion seconded by D. Cleveland. All in
246		favor; no one was opposed. Motion carries and the application is approved.
247 248 249 250 251	e.	File PB2019:012: Proposed minor site plan for a change of use of an existing 5,000 sq. ft. building to be occupied by a concrete construction company. Map 11 Lot 8. Applicant/owner: Thomas Walton, 265B Proctor Hill Road. Zoned Industrial. Application Acceptance & Public Hearing.
252 253 254 255 256 257		This is a change of use minor site plan for an existing 5,000 sq. ft. building for use by a concrete company with 5 employees. The building will be used to store equipment including hand tools, a bobcat, a mini excavator, power tools, drills, concrete stamps, etc. There will also be biodegradable concrete colorants and a gallon of 2 cycle oil and water sealant. There will be three equipment trucks and a box truck parked outside. Hours of operation are from 5:30 am to 7:30 pm and all work will be conducted off site.
258 259 260 261 262 263		D. Cleveland presented a motion to accept File PB2019:012 for consideration. Motion seconded by C. Rogers and unanimously approved. Joe Vallencourt, Vallencourt Construction, indicated that he is looking to rent space from Mr. Walton for his concrete operation. The chairman opened the public hearing. There being none, the chairman closed the public hearing. D. Cleveland moved to approve File #2019:012. Motion seconded by J. Peters and unanimously approved.
264 265 266	7. OTHE	R BUSINESS
265	7. OTHE	R BUSINESS a. Draft Administrative Rules – Workforce Housing
265 266 267 268 269	7. OTHE	a. Draft Administrative Rules – Workforce HousingChairman B. Moseley noted that he and M. Fougere had met with representatives of the
265 266 267 268 269 270	7. OTHE	 a. Draft Administrative Rules – Workforce Housing Chairman B. Moseley noted that he and M. Fougere had met with representatives of the NH Housing Finance Authority, and received comments and suggestions which have
265 266 267 268 269 270 271	7. OTHE	a. Draft Administrative Rules – Workforce Housing Chairman B. Moseley noted that he and M. Fougere had met with representatives of the NH Housing Finance Authority, and received comments and suggestions which have been incorporated into the document. M. Fougere reviewed the draft, noting that it sets
265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272	7. OTHE	a. Draft Administrative Rules – Workforce Housing Chairman B. Moseley noted that he and M. Fougere had met with representatives of the NH Housing Finance Authority, and received comments and suggestions which have been incorporated into the document. M. Fougere reviewed the draft, noting that it sets up the administrative rules that the Board adopted a number of years ago, and provides
265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273	7. OTHE	a. Draft Administrative Rules – Workforce Housing Chairman B. Moseley noted that he and M. Fougere had met with representatives of the NH Housing Finance Authority, and received comments and suggestions which have been incorporated into the document. M. Fougere reviewed the draft, noting that it sets up the administrative rules that the Board adopted a number of years ago, and provides guidelines of what the planning board's expectations of the applicant will be with
265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274	7. OTHE	a. Draft Administrative Rules – Workforce Housing Chairman B. Moseley noted that he and M. Fougere had met with representatives of the NH Housing Finance Authority, and received comments and suggestions which have been incorporated into the document. M. Fougere reviewed the draft, noting that it sets up the administrative rules that the Board adopted a number of years ago, and provides guidelines of what the planning board's expectations of the applicant will be with respect to covenants, and how long the covenants will be in force. Because there are
265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275	7. OTHE	a. Draft Administrative Rules – Workforce Housing Chairman B. Moseley noted that he and M. Fougere had met with representatives of the NH Housing Finance Authority, and received comments and suggestions which have been incorporated into the document. M. Fougere reviewed the draft, noting that it sets up the administrative rules that the Board adopted a number of years ago, and provides guidelines of what the planning board's expectations of the applicant will be with respect to covenants, and how long the covenants will be in force. Because there are density waivers, the affordability should remain in effect for a specified period. J.
265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276	7. OTHE	a. Draft Administrative Rules – Workforce Housing Chairman B. Moseley noted that he and M. Fougere had met with representatives of the NH Housing Finance Authority, and received comments and suggestions which have been incorporated into the document. M. Fougere reviewed the draft, noting that it sets up the administrative rules that the Board adopted a number of years ago, and provides guidelines of what the planning board's expectations of the applicant will be with respect to covenants, and how long the covenants will be in force. Because there are density waivers, the affordability should remain in effect for a specified period. J. Peters: Thought affordability was perpetual. M. Fougere: The standard covenant
265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277	7. OTHE	a. Draft Administrative Rules – Workforce Housing Chairman B. Moseley noted that he and M. Fougere had met with representatives of the NH Housing Finance Authority, and received comments and suggestions which have been incorporated into the document. M. Fougere reviewed the draft, noting that it sets up the administrative rules that the Board adopted a number of years ago, and provides guidelines of what the planning board's expectations of the applicant will be with respect to covenants, and how long the covenants will be in force. Because there are density waivers, the affordability should remain in effect for a specified period. J. Peters: Thought affordability was perpetual. M. Fougere: The standard covenant restriction is a minimum of 30 years. If the unit is resold prior to 30 years, the covenant
265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277	7. OTHE	a. Draft Administrative Rules – Workforce Housing Chairman B. Moseley noted that he and M. Fougere had met with representatives of the NH Housing Finance Authority, and received comments and suggestions which have been incorporated into the document. M. Fougere reviewed the draft, noting that it sets up the administrative rules that the Board adopted a number of years ago, and provides guidelines of what the planning board's expectations of the applicant will be with respect to covenants, and how long the covenants will be in force. Because there are density waivers, the affordability should remain in effect for a specified period. J. Peters: Thought affordability was perpetual. M. Fougere: The standard covenant restriction is a minimum of 30 years. If the unit is resold prior to 30 years, the covenant gets reset. Rules differ for rental vs. for-sale housing. A third party administrator
265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278 279	7. OTHE	a. Draft Administrative Rules – Workforce Housing Chairman B. Moseley noted that he and M. Fougere had met with representatives of the NH Housing Finance Authority, and received comments and suggestions which have been incorporated into the document. M. Fougere reviewed the draft, noting that it sets up the administrative rules that the Board adopted a number of years ago, and provides guidelines of what the planning board's expectations of the applicant will be with respect to covenants, and how long the covenants will be in force. Because there are density waivers, the affordability should remain in effect for a specified period. J. Peters: Thought affordability was perpetual. M. Fougere: The standard covenant restriction is a minimum of 30 years. If the unit is resold prior to 30 years, the covenant gets reset. Rules differ for rental vs. for-sale housing. A third party administrator should be involved in for-sale housing because it is a complicated procedure which must
265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277	7. OTHE	a. Draft Administrative Rules – Workforce Housing Chairman B. Moseley noted that he and M. Fougere had met with representatives of the NH Housing Finance Authority, and received comments and suggestions which have been incorporated into the document. M. Fougere reviewed the draft, noting that it sets up the administrative rules that the Board adopted a number of years ago, and provides guidelines of what the planning board's expectations of the applicant will be with respect to covenants, and how long the covenants will be in force. Because there are density waivers, the affordability should remain in effect for a specified period. J. Peters: Thought affordability was perpetual. M. Fougere: The standard covenant restriction is a minimum of 30 years. If the unit is resold prior to 30 years, the covenant gets reset. Rules differ for rental vs. for-sale housing. A third party administrator

income criteria. A third party reviewer takes a fee at closing to cover their

administrative costs. M. Fougere noted that while this is the only zone in town for

282

283

284			multi-family, there is a provision in the ordinance to allow for an affordable housing unit
285			for sale within a HOSPD. R. Hardy: What is town cost on an annual basis for
286			administering this? M. Fougere: The only thing the town has to cover is the reporting
287			requirements. The third party administrator is a built-in fee paid at the closing. The
288			town will only be responsible for getting the annual reports. R. Hardy: How do we
289			determine what percentage of units are rented vs. owned? M. Fougere: 25% of the units
290			have to be affordable and have to be spread out throughout the project. When they
291			submit the application they will have to designate where the rental units will be located.
292			Workforce owner-occupied is 30% and rental is 25%. D. Cleveland: Are these rules
293			consistent with what other towns do? M. Fougere: Yes – spoke to a number of different
294			communities. B. Ming: Are there limits on the lease terms? M. Fougere: We can add a
295			stipulation that leases are for a one-year period. B. Ming: (Ref. pg. 3 regarding
296			prohibition of sub-leasingdo you mean leasing or do you only mean subleasing? M.
297			Fougere: I mean "leasing to somebody"; if you own a workforce housing unit you can't
298			rent it. He will remove "sublease" and "third party individuals". B. Ming: On page 2,
299			suggest doing something to tie in to the recorded deed. Finally – suggest adding a
300			reference to the state statute. All agreed to make these changes.
			5
301			C. Hoffman presented a motion to approve the Workforce Housing Administration,
302			Compliance and Monitoring Rules: Assurance of Continued Affordability" dated July 8,
303			2019, as amended. Motion seconded by D. Cleveland and unanimously approved.
304			b . Separate meeting for upcoming workforce housing application.
305			The chairman suggested that the board designate a separate meeting day to deal with the
306			workforce housing application. Mark will check on the availability of the room and the
307			application will be scheduled accordingly. Scheduling is somewhat dependent upon
308			what comes in by the deadline for the next meeting. Board members agreed that if the
309			situation dictates it is agreed to have a separate meeting.
210			
310 311			c. Site walk for Seager property
711			
312			Board members agreed to conduct the site walk for the Seager application on Tuesday,
313			August 20 at 5:00 pm. Meet at the entrance of the property.
314	8.	ADJOU	IRN
315	•		ncluded the public portion of the meeting and the Board voted to move into non-public session at
316		approx	. 9:12 pm.
317			D
318 319			Respectfully submitted,
320			
321			
322			Virginia Mills
323 324			Secretary pro tem

325	
326	
327	
328	
329	
330	
331	
332	
333	
334	
335	
336	
337	
338	
339 340	
341	
342	
343	
344	
345	
346 347	
348 349 350	