HOLLIS PLANNING BOARD MINUTES May 18, 2021 DRAFT

1 2 3 4	Ch	LANNING BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Bill Moseley – Chairman; Doug Cleveland – Vice nairman, Virginia Mills, Jeff Peters, Chet Rogers and David Petry (Ex-Officio for Selectmen) ternates: Julie Mook, Rick Hardy				
5	AI	ABSENT:				
6						
7 8 9	ST	CAFF PRESENT: Mark Fougere, Town Planner; Evan Clements, Assistant Planner				
10	TH	HIS MEETING WAS CONDUCTED VIRTUALLY WITHOUT A PHYSICAL LOCATION				
11	IN	COMPLIANCE WITH GOVERNOR SUNUNU'S EMERGENCY ORDERS #12, 16, & 17				
12 13	1.	CALL TO ORDER: 7:00 PM. B. Moseley led the group in the Pledge of Allegiance.				
14 15	2.	APPROVAL OF PLANNING BOARD MINUTES:				
16 17		a. March 27, 2021 Site Walk: Motion to approve – motioned by D. Petry; seconded by J. Peters – B. Ming abstained – motion passed				
18		b. May 4, 2021 Site Walk: Motion to approve – motioned by D. Petry; seconded by J.				
19		Mook – B. Ming and J. Peters abstained – motion passed				
20						
21 22	2	DISCUSSION AND STAFF BRIEFING				
23	3.	a. Election of Board Officers:				
24		Planning Chair: Bill Moseley nominated by Jeff Peters; no other nominations				
25		i. Motion to elect Bill Moseley as Chair – motioned by J. Peters; seconded				
26		by V. Mills – B. Moseley abstained – motion passed				
27		 Planning Vice Chair – Doug Cleveland nominated by Jeff Peters; no other 				
28		nominations				
29		i. Motion to elect Doug Cleveland as Vice Chair – motioned by J. Peters;				
30		seconded by C. Rogers – D. Cleveland abstained – motion passed				
31		b. Agenda Additions and Deletions:				
32		PB2020-024 Design Review - 50 unit Housing for Older Persons proposal Proposated metaphical and submitted actions on the table to the Israel 15.				
33 34		i. Requested materials not submitted – stays on the table to the June 15 meeting				
35		c. Committee Reports – none				
36		d. Staff Report – none				
37		e. Regional Impact – none				
38						
39	4.	SIGNATURE OF PLANS: None				
40	_	HE ADDIGG				
41 42	5.	HEARINGS:				
42		a. File PB2021:013 – Final Review: Proposed minor subdivision of an existing 22.17 acre				
44		lot into five lots ranging in size from 2 – 8.55 acres, 120 Federal Hill Road,				
45		Owner/Applicant: Federal Hill Properties, LLC, Zoned R&A and Rural. Public Hearing				
46		and Application Acceptance.				
47						
48		M. Fougere stated that this proposal is for a five lot minor subdivision of an existing 22 acre				
49		parcel along the western side of Federal Hill Road approximately .3 miles south of the Plain				

Road/ Federal Hill Road intersection. This proposal includes four frontage lots and one

50

back lot; an existing home will lie on new Lot 10. The subject parcel is split zoned between Residential & Agricultural and Rural Lands. Approximate driveway locations are shown and there is at least 100 feet between the proposed driveways. Lot 29-10-2 is a 6.6 acre back lot with steep slopes and will require a wetland crossing for the driveway. An existing snow mobile trail runs through this property has been relocated, parallel to Federal Hill Road, within the 100 foot front setback. The Board held a site walk on this site in December 2020.

The applicant and abutter have come to an agreement relative to their common lot line; a slight adjustment in the location of the lot has been made so that the abutter's retaining wall now lies on his property.

Motion to accept application – motioned by D. Cleveland; seconded by J. Peters – motion passed unanimously

Randy Haight, Meridian Land Services – stated that the reason for the note with overhead and underground utilities is that the existing house is serviced by overhead utilities. The new lots will be serviced by underground utilities and no waiver is required. He also stated that they would add a note to the plan that any damage done to the road would be repaired by the developer with input from DPW.

Public Hearing

No Public Comment

Public Hearing Closed

V. Mills asked if the trail has been relocated yet.

Amos White, owner/applicant stated that the relocation of the trail will take place sometimes in June/July.

Motion to approve with conditions – motioned by D. Cleveland; seconded by J. Peters – passed unanimously

b. File PB2021:012 - Final Review: Proposed three lot subdivision creating properties ranging in size from 4 – 5.3 acres accessed via privates ways (one Love Lane and two Proctor Hill Road), Owner: Fimbal Trust, Applicant: Federal Hill Properties, LLC, Map 17 Lot 34-1, Love Lane & Proctor Hill Road (NH Route 130), Zoned R&A, Town Center, A&B and Historic District. Public Hearing and Application Acceptance.

M. Fougere stated that the purpose of this final review plan is to outline a proposed three lot subdivision of an existing 14.3 acre lot into three back lots. The existing property has frontage on both Love Lane and Proctor Hill Road (NH Route 130). These back lots will range in size from 4 - 5.3 acres, meeting the minimum 4 acre lot area requirement. Lots 2 & 3 will be served by a private way which currently serves two existing homes off Proctor Hill Road; this private way will be named Nelson's Way. A new private way will have to be constructed to meet current Subdivision Regulation standards. A small wetland area exists on new lot 3. A public water line exists through these properties; this line serves both private and town properties in the Town Square. Lot 1 will access over an existing driveway access easement area. To address the Rural Character Ordinance, the applicant is

102 103 104	proposing a 160 foot wide no cut buffer along Proctor Hill Road. The buffer will begin at the stone wall that runs parallel to Proctor Hill Road. This area is clearly noted on the plan.
105	He noted that two waivers have been submitted. One waiver for a private way to service
106	four homes in lieu of the two homes permitted and a second waiver from the Rural
107	Character Ordinance to allow for the extension of overhead utilities by one pole before then
108	routing the utilities underground as required.
	Touting the utilities underground as required.
109	Motion to account application motioned by D. Claveland, accounted by I. Datous motion
110	Motion to accept application – motioned by D. Cleveland; seconded by J. Peters – motion
111	passed unanimously
112	D 1 II 1 1 M 11 I 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
113	Randy Haight, Meridian Land Services began by explaining that the best location would be
114	out of the water line easement but near it. This would allow for easy transition over the
115	waterline without impacting it.
116	
117	B. Moseley asked about the waiver for the common drive.
118	
119	R. Haight stated that with the existing two homes it just made sense to maintain a single
120	curb cut along Proctor Hill Road. It allows better control of runoff onto Proctor Hill Road
121	and there are existing utilities that can be extended to the back lots.
122	
123	D. Petry asked about the existing driveway and if it was going to be altered before the
124	extension added.
125	
126	R. Haight stated that it would be widened to create 20' of plow able surface. The first
127	culvert will be extended to accommodate the new road width. The second culvert will be
128	entirely replaced.
129	
130	D. Petry asked what steps would be taken to protect the water line during construction.
131	
132	R. Haight stated that the school would be notified that work would be done over the water
133	line. He noted that more material would be added on top of the line, not digging down.
134	
135	M. Fougere noted that the Town Site Inspector would be involved to monitor construction
136	of the common drive and will take particular note of the water line.
137	of the common drive and will take particular hote of the water line.
138	D. Petry noted that the weight of construction vehicle will be travelling over the line.
139	b. Totaly notice that the weight of construction remote with occurrening over the line.
140	R. Haight stated that there would be a pre-construction meeting where measures to protect
141	the water line will be discussed and implemented.
142	the water fine win be discussed and implemented.
143	D. Petry asked if bonding should be put in place in case the water line is damaged.
144	D. I city asked it boliding should be put in place in case the water fine is damaged.
145	M. Fougare stated that the Doord could stimulate that It would be unusual for a private
	M. Fougere stated that the Board could stipulate that. It would be unusual for a private
146	common drive, however, this is a unique situation.
147	D. Claveland calcad if the applicant Image have deep the sector line sector
148	D. Cleveland asked if the applicant knew how deep the water line was.
149	
150	R. Haight noted that there has not been any frost issues with the line so it had to be at least
151	5' down. He stated that the line was 3" pvc.
152	

153	Public Hearing
154	
155	<u>Jim Chilton; 34 Proctor Hill Road</u> – thanked the applicant and stated that they seemed to be
156	in agreement. He asked if his common property addresses would have to change. He also
157	asked if the zoning of his properties would change.
158	
159	M. Fougere stated that the common addresses would change but the zoning would not
160	change.
161	
162	<u>Joe Garruba</u> ; 28 Winchester <u>Drive</u> – asked about crossing the water line and suggested an
163	engineering option such as a bridge or other solution.
164	
165	M. Fougere stated that the purpose of the pre-construction meeting was to come up with a
166	strategy to prevent damage to the water line, not just observe.
167	
168	<u>Charles Wood; 51 Love Lane</u> – asked about the driveway location for 17-34-1 to make sure
169	that the driveway was oriented easterly so headlights would not shine into the back of his
170	house.
171	
172	M. Fougere stated that a condition of approval for the proposal was that the driveway would
173	be oriented easterly to avoid headlight glare into 51 Love Lane.
174	
175	D. Petry stated that condition should be a note on the plan so future property owners know
176	that the driveway location is locked in.
177	
178	R. Haight stated they would add the note to the plan.
179	
180	Public Hearing Closed
181	
182	D. Petry noted in regards to the waiver for the common drive that the applicant had to
183	demonstrate that access to lot 17-34-3 could be achieved directly from Proctor Hill Road,
184	however, it was not practical to do so.
185	•
186	Motion to approve a waiver to allow a common drive with access to four lots in lieu of
187	only two lots being permitted – motioned by D. Cleveland; seconded by V. Mills –
188	motion passed unanimously
189	
190	D. Petry noted that the Planning Board makes decisions regarding waivers on a case by case
191	basis and in this instance avoiding the possible damage of an existing public water line
192	warrants the granting of the overhead utility waiver.
193	
194	M. Fougere noted that there are existing overhead utilities already on the property so adding
195	another pole is not a drastic change.
196	whether pers is her in unuest change.
197	B. Moseley noted that when considering a waiver request there should be a public benefit
198	related to the Board's decision.
199	
200	
201	Motion to approve a waiver to allow for the extension of overhead utilities by one
202	utility pole in order to transverse the water line utility easement before

203 204		undergrounding in lieu of no overhead utilities being permitted – motioned by D. Cleveland; seconded by J. Peters – motion passed unanimously
205		
206		M. Fougere outlined the conditions of approval:
207		DES Wetland and DOT permits
208		Town Inspector will oversee the construction of the private common drive
209		• Letter sent to the school informing them of the work
210		Private way access and maintenance agreement
211		All lot bounds be set
212 213		Lot 1 driveway location is set and Town Inspector will ensure proper location of the driveway.
213		driveway
215		Motion to approve the application with conditions – motioned by J. Peters; seconded by
216		C. Rogers – motion passed unanimously
217		
218	c.	File PB2021:011 – Final Review: Proposed major subdivision of a 55.49 acre property
219	•	into 12 single family lots, HOSPD layout, Map 32 Lot 45-3, Howe Lane, Applicant/Owner
220		Ducal Development, LLC, Zoned R&A. Public Hearing and Application Acceptance.
221		
222		V. Mils abstained; J. Mook voting
223		•
224		M. Fougere stated that this proposal is for a final subdivision of an existing 55 acre parcel
225		into 12 single family residential building lots. Ten of the lots will be front lots and two lots
226		will be back lots. The Planning Board opted for the HOSPD design for this subdivision. A
227		stream bisects the property and a wetland crossing across the stream will be required to
228		serve a single family home. The proposal includes a fire cistern easement and cistern
229		located at the northeast corner of Lot 1. The applicant has submitted a Wildlife Impact
230		Study, Traffic Report, Hydraulic Analysis and Stormwater Management Report. In
231		addition a landscaping plan has be prepared.
232		
233		The applicant has obtained approval for the wetland crossing and Alteration of Terrain
234		permits from NHDES.
235		
236		M. Fougere noted that the applicant has requested a waiver from the HOSPD rules that all
237		lots are contiguous to the proposed Open Space. Lots 7-9 are being proposed without
238		contiguity to the proposed Open Space. He noted that these lots have easy access by
239		traveling down the road.
240		M.E. and deep Control of Deep
241		M. Fougere stated that staff would like the Board to comment on the abandoned well
242		located on lot 7 and if the Board wants the well to be decommissioned or if the existing
243		security over the well is sufficient. He noted that effort should be taken to preserve as many
244		of the larger oaks located on the property. He stated that the proposed guardrail along the
245246		fore cistern does not meeting Town specifications and must be revised. Typically bollards
246		are used which the Fire Department prefers.
247		M. Fougere commented on note 11 which details the requirement for individual drainage
248		designs for each lot. This note has been revised to the Town Engineer's satisfaction. The
250		slopes on the driveway for lot 14 should be tightened up to reduce the wetland impact.

252	M. Fougere noted that a landscaping plan has been submitted. Additional landscaping needs
253	to be proposed along the westerly property line to screen lots 7-9 from Ranger Road.
254	
255	Motion to accept application – motioned by D. Cleveland; seconded by J. Peters – passed
256	unanimously
257	
258	Randy Haight, Meridian Land Services – noted that many of the issues listed by staff have
259	been corrected on a new plan set that was submitted yesterday. He discussed the individual
260	drainage plans required on each lot. He stated that he added a note that lot 14 could not be
261	further subdivided.
262	
263	R. Hardy discussed the landscaping. He noted that he met on site with R. Haight and their
264	landscape architect. He explained that the intent is to create new understory by using
265	"islands" of landscaping that can be shifted slightly on site based on existing vegetation
266	conditions. He noted that the applicant has proposed an aggressive watering schedule that
267	he is in favor of.
268	
269	J. Mook and J. Peters stated that they were in favor of adding additional plants along the
270	western property line.
271	
272	R. Haight asked to clarify that the additional plantings would be located in the no-cut area
273	along those properties.
274	
275	M. Fougere stated that was correct.
276	
277	J. Mook asked for the Fire Department or some other entity assess the safety conditions of
278	the abandoned well located on lot 7.
279	
280	M. Fougere stated that the Fire Department could perform a life safety assessment.
281	
282	E. Clements asked R. Haight if he said that the Open Space was going to be public.
283	
284	R. Haight stated that the applicant was going to dedicate a Conservation Easement to the
285	Town.
286	
287	Public Hearing
288	
289	Ben Taylor; 83 Howe Lane – asked about the character of the houses to be built and the
290	visual impact of the development. He also asked about the nature of the no-cut buffer.
291	
292	M. Fougere stated that there was a proposed 100' no-cut buffer along Howe Lane that
293	would be augmented by roughly 200 plantings.
294	
295	R. Haight stated that the location and type of house will be dependent on who purchases the
296	lot.
297	
298	Public Hearing Closed
299	
300	D. Petry noted that since the updated plan sets were submitted yesterday he did not believe
301	that the Board should be voting on approving this application tonight. Staff needs time to
302	review the changes.

303 304		M. Fougere asked about acting on the waiver request, even if the Board was going to wait to approve the application.
305		
306		D. Petry stated that while this would not be a waiver that he would support normally, in this
307		instance, with the proposed configuration of lots, the waiver makes sense to him. He did
308		raise a concern regarding a delineation of where the public Open Space stops and private
309		property begins.
310		
311		R. Haight suggested adding conservation placards.
312		
313		D. Petry asked about marking some of the large oaks so they can be preserved.
314		
315		R. Haight noted that much of the original parcel is in the protected Open Space so all of
316		those trees and features will be protected.
317		1
318		Motion to approve a waiver to allow for the design of HOSPD lots that are not
319		contiguous to the Open Space in lieu of the required contiguity – motioned by D.
320		Cleveland; seconded by J. Peters – passed unanimously
321		
322		Motion to table to the June 15 meeting – motioned by J. Mook; seconded by J. Peters –
323		passed unanimously
324		. F
325	d.	File PB2021:009 – Proposed lot line relocation between two adjoining properties,
326	•••	Owner/Applicants: Joan Cole & Wendell A. Snyder, 85 Long Hill Road & 54 Wheeler
327		Road, Map 24 Lots 11-5 & 10, Zoned R&A. Public Hearing and Application
328		Acceptance.
329		
330		R. Hardy recused as he is an abutter
331		211 2211 ay 700a00a ao 110 20 an ao ao ao
332		M. Fougere stated that the purpose of this Lot Line Revision Plan is to adjust the common
333		lot line between lots 24-11-5 and 24-10. "Parcel A", consisting of 7,375 SF (.169 acres)
334		will be transferred from lot 24-10 to lot 24-11-5. Both lots will retain their existing
335		frontage. All zoning requirements will be maintained.
336		<i>g</i>
337		Motion to accept application – motioned by J. Peters; seconded by V. Mills – passed
338		unanimously
339		
340		Wendell Snyder, applicant – stated that he was looking to add a little bit of property for a
341		future pool and pool house so that he would be able to meet the required side yard setback.
342		
343		M. Fougere noted that the Board received two letters from abutters in support of the
344		application.
345		-TF
346		Public Hearing
347		
348		No public comment
349		1
350		Public Hearing Closed
351		•
352		Motion to approve a waiver from Section V. – Subdivision Plat Requirements for
353		topography, soils data, delineated wetlands, driveways within 200', and natural and

354 355		man-made features – motioned by D. Cleveland; seconded by V. Mills – passed unanimously
356		
357		Motion to approve application – motioned by D. Petry; seconded by J. Peters – passed
358		unanimously
359		
360	e.	<u>File PB2021:010</u> – Proposed amendment to an approved subdivision plan, Woods
361		Subdivision, by amending the approved Landscaping Plan for Map 13 Lot 68-6, 3 Johns
362		Way, Applicant/Owner: Dana Rasmussen, Zoned R&A. Public Hearing and Application
363		Acceptance.
364		
365		M. Fougere noted that the applicant was not present for the hearing but the applicant is
366		straight forward request. R. Hardy had been working with the applicant to modify the
367		required landscaping in a way that was suitable to both the Town and the applicant. The
368		actual change is the replacement of four White Pines with four Douglas Fir.
369		
370		Motion to accept application – motioned by D. Petry; seconded by D. Cleveland – passed
371		unanimously
372		
373		R. Hardy spoke in regards to his work with the applicant. Originally the applicant wanted to
374		make significant changes, however, after reviewing the Rural Character Ordinance, they
375		agreed on the change of four White Pines with four Douglas Fir. They also wanted to add
376		three Sugar Maples along the property line with Dow Road. They had removed some
377		invasive underbrush in preparation of this but the existing tree line will remain.
378		
379		Public Hearing
380		
381		No comment
382		
383		Public Hearing Closed
384		
385		Motion to approve application – motioned by D. Petry; seconded by J. Peters – passed
386		unanimously
387		·
388		
389		
390	7. ADJ	OURN
391		There being no further business, D. Petry presented a non-debatable motion to adjourn.
392	Motion	seconded by J. Peters and unanimously approved. Meeting adjourns at 8:55 PM.
393		Respectfully submitted,
394		Evan J. Clements,
395		Assistant Planner